
RESEARCH ARTICLE

Gene action and pattern of inheritance for quantitative characters of peppers (*Capsicum spp*)

N. M. Akpan, I. I. Dominic

Department of crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Uyo, Uyo, P.M.B 1017 Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria

Corresponding authors email: akpandueso@gmail.com

Manuscript received: August 30, 2024; Decision on manuscript, September 30, 2024; Manuscript accepted: October 1, 2024

Abstract

Gene action of yield and yield contributing traits of peppers (*Capsicum spp*) was studied in half diallel mating design involving seven (7) parental genotypes to generate twenty one (21) single cross hybrids during 2022 (April-July) planting season at the Department of Crop Science teaching and research farm University of Uyo, Uyo. Parents and the F₁'s were planted during August-December, 2022 to produce F₂'s and some F₁ plants were back cross to their respective parents to produce their backcrosses (BCP₁ and BCP₂). The F₂ and backcrosses were evaluated during April-July, 2023 planting season. The data obtained were subjected to generational mean analysis to determine the type of genes action involves in yield and yield contributing traits of pepper. Heritability in broad and narrow sense were estimated. The result showed that, all types of gene action i.e. additive, dominance and interaction components were found to play a major role in the inheritance of yield and yield contributing traits of pepper. High broad sense heritability (>70%) and narrow sense heritability (>50%) for fruit yield per hectare was obtained in all the hybrids with few exceptions. Dominance gene effect was found to be positive and higher in magnitude in all the hybrids for number of fruits per plant, fruit

weight per plant and fruit yield per hectare when compared with other genes action indicating hybridization (heterosis breeding) as the best breeding method to improve the productivity of pepper.

Keywords: Gene action, pepper, diallel, heritability, yield

Introduction

Pepper (*Capsicum sp*) is an economically important crop belonging to the family *Solanaceae* which is an indispensable group of vegetables. It originated from South and Central America while the major centre of diversity is Brazil. Pepper is an essential ingredient in global food industries, adding flavour, pungency, colour, taste, vitamins A and C and antioxidants such as carotenoids, ascorbic acid, flavanoids, and polyphenols. Antioxidant compounds present in the different colours (green, yellow, orange, and red) of peppers give them an antioxidative potential which helps protect the body from oxidative damage induced by free radicals when consumed (Nadeem *et al.*, 2011). The gene actions among traits are the basis for initiating the effective breeding programme.

Inheritance analysis of qualitative and quantitative character can show the number of genes controlling certain characters, gene actions, and other genetic information thus making selection more effective and efficient (Arif *et al.*, 2011; Yunandra *et al.*, 2018; Chibesa *et al.*, 2019). According to Derera and Musimwa (2015), generation mean analysis is a tool that can be used to investigate genetic effects in models other than the additive-dominant model. The linear components; additive (a), dominance (d), additive x additive (aa), additive x dominance (ad), and dominance x dominance (dd) can be compared to provide explanations for additive and dominant gene actions for the planning of plant breeding programmes with high efficiency. Therefore, study of inheritance of plant characters become important in maximizing uses of genetic potential in effective breeding programmes. The objectives of this study were to generate information on genetic architecture and inheritance of yield and yield component characters of pepper.

Materials and methods

The experiment was conducted at the Crop Science Department teaching and research farm, University of Uyo, Akwa Ibom State. Uyo is located within the humid tropical rainforest zone of southeastern Nigeria. It has coordinates of latitudes 40301N and 50271N, and longitudes 70501E and 80251E and altitude 38.1m above sea level. There are two distinct seasons in Uyo: the wet, rainy season and the dry season. According to Umoh *et al.*, (2013), Uyo has average annual rainfall of 2530.8mm, relative humidity of 80.83% and temperature of 26⁰C-28⁰C. The research was carried out from March, 2022 to December, 2023. Seven parental genotypes of pepper (A=Piquante yellow, B=Scotch bonnet, C=Antillais, D=Big sun, E=Tatse, F=Ntuen okpo used as a checked and G=Efia), selected

from the germplasm were crossed in half diallel mating design to generate twenty one (21) single crosses during 2022 (April-July) planting season. Seeds of parents and the F₁'s were planted during August-December, 2022 to produce F₂'s and were cross back to their respective parents to generate their backcrosses (BCP). The parents, F₁, F₂, BCP₁ and BCP₂ of each cross were evaluated in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replicates during April-July, 2023. Planting was done at a spacing of 50 × 50 cm and inorganic compound fertilizer, N.P.K (15:15:15) was applied at the rate of 250 kg per hectare at three weeks after transplanting. Weeding was done manually on the field as when due. Data were collected on the following parameters: number fruits per plant, fruit weight per plant (g), and fruit yield per hectare (tonnes). The estimates of the various gene effects of yield and yield component traits were obtained using generational mean data from the parents, F₁'s, F₂'s and backcrosses (BCP₁'s and BCP₂'s) according to the relationship given by Hayman (1958) model as explained by Singh and Chaudhary (1985). Test of significant was done based on the relationship as describe by Gamble (1962).

t value of effect = (gene effect) / $\sqrt{(\text{variance of gene effect})}$

The variance estimate method was used to estimate the genetic variances of the quantitative traits as described by Acquaah (2007). The estimates of heritability in broad and narrow sense was done as described by Acquaah (2007). The variance of the parental lines, F₁, F₂, BC₁, BC₂ population were used to determine the additive variance, dominance variance, genotypic variance, phenotypic variance environmental variance and heritability. Genetic advance (GA) and the genetic advance as percentage of the mean (GAM) was calculated as described by Johnson *et al.* (1955).

Results and discussion

Additive gene effect showed that thirteen hybrids exhibited significant positive effect various traits. Dominant gene effect was positive and significant in all the hybrids. Additive x additive gene effect was found to be positive and significant in nineteen hybrids number of fruits per plant, seven hybrids for weight of fruit per plant and there was no hybrid that exhibited positive and significant additive x additive gene effect for fruit yield per hectare. Additive x dominant gene effect showed that five hybrids exhibited significant positive effect for number of fruits per plant, thirteen hybrids for fruit weight per plant and one hybrid for fruit yield per hectare. Dominant x dominant gene effect shows that no hybrid exhibited positive and significant effect for number of fruits per plant and fruit yield per hectare while twelve hybrids showed a significant positive dominant x dominant gene effect for fruit weight per plant (Table 1, 2 and 3). Gene action plays a significant role in selecting the breeding strategy used to create cultivar types such as hybrid, pure line, synthetic etc. (Akpan *et al.*, 2017). For number of fruits per plant, fruit weight per plant, and fruit yield per hectare, the dominant and dominant x dominant gene action demonstrated a high magnitude of positive effect in the the hybrids. This suggests that these traits are primarily governed by dominant and dominant x dominant gene action and could be utilized through heterosis breeding. Earlier Navhale *et al.*, (2014) observed high magnitude of positive dominant and dominant x dominant gene action for number of dry fruits per plant, number of green fruits per plant, green fruit yield per plant in pepper. Yunandra *et al.*, (2018) observed high magnitude of positive dominant and dominant x dominant gene effect for fruit Broad sense heritability is the ratio of genotypic variance to phenotypic variance while narrow sense heritability is the ratio of additive variance to phenotypic variance and it is of great importance to plant breeders.

weight and yield. Nascimento *et al.*, (2019) reported high magnitude of positive dominant gene effect for fruit yield per plant and fruit weight. The highest broad and narrow sense heritability of 77.02% and 69.47% respectively, were observed in the hybrid $C_{\text{♀}} \times F_{\text{♂}}$ for number of fruits per plant. while the hybrid $C_{\text{♀}} \times D_{\text{♂}}$ had the highest broad sense heritability of 87.69% and the hybrid $A_{\text{♀}} \times C_{\text{♂}}$ (68.82%) gave the highest narrow sense heritability for fruit yield per hectare. The least broad sense heritability was obtained in $D_{\text{♀}} \times F_{\text{♂}}$ (65.91%) and the least narrow sense heritability was found in $B_{\text{♀}} \times G_{\text{♂}}$ hybrid (50.80%) for number of fruits per plant, the least broad and narrow sense heritability of 68.83% and 43.83% respectively was observed in $A_{\text{♀}} \times E_{\text{♂}}$ hybrid for fruit weight per plant while $D_{\text{♀}} \times G_{\text{♂}}$ hybrid (78.13%) and $E_{\text{♀}} \times F_{\text{♂}}$ hybrid (48.64%) gave the least broad and narrow sense heritability for fruit yield per hectare. Partial dominance was observed in all the hybrids for number of fruits per plant, fruit weight per plant and fruit yield per hectare. The highest GAM was obtained in the hybrid $E_{\text{♀}} \times G_{\text{♂}}$ (29.69%) for number of fruits per plant, the hybrid $E_{\text{♀}} \times F_{\text{♂}}$ (4.35%) for fruit weight per plant and the hybrid $D_{\text{♀}} \times E_{\text{♂}}$ (100.27%) for fruit yield per hectare while the least was observed in $A_{\text{♀}} \times C_{\text{♂}}$ hybrid (14.25%) for number of fruits per plant, $A_{\text{♀}} \times B_{\text{♂}}$ hybrid (2.36%) for fruit weight per plant and $C_{\text{♀}} \times G_{\text{♂}}$ hybrid (68.90%) for fruit yield per hectare (Table 4, 6 and 6). Heritability gives an indication of the degree of genetic control over a trait's expression and the accuracy with which a phenotype predicts a trait's breeding value. These traits are highly heritable, to improve the traits is feasible due to their high additive effect, hence there is less environmental influence in the observed variation for traits (Deresa *et al.*, 2023).

Additive variance is the variance that causes resemblance among relative (Aquaah, 2007). Heritability value less than 60% is considered low, 60-80% as moderate and value greater than

80% is considered as high while genetic Advance as percentage of mean (GAM) value less than 15% is considered as low, 15-30% as moderate and greater than 30% as high (Abrham, 2019). Low and moderate broad and narrow sense heritability was observed in number of fruits per plant, and fruit weight per plant, similar findings were reported by Deresa *et al.*, (2023) for number of fruits per plant and fruit yield, Abrham (2019) for number of fruits per plant and fresh fruit yield, Yunandra (2018) for number of fruits per plant and fruit yield. High genetic advance as percentage of mean (GAM) observed for fruit yield per hectare was in agreement with the findings of Abrham (2019) for fresh fruit yield and Deresa *et al.* (2023) for fruit yield. Low to medium

GAM observed for number of fruits per plant and low GAM for fruit weight per plant was in line with Abrham (2019) for number of fruits per plant and Deresa *et al.*, (2023) for number of fruit per plant. However, character with low heritability couple with low GAM indicates non-additive gene while characters with high heritability couple with GAM indicate that additive gene control the expression of these characters and can be improve through selection. Phenotypic variance of traits under study was partitioned into heritable (genotypic variance) and non-heritable (environmental variance) components. The magnitude of environmental variance was lower than their corresponding genotypic variance for all the traits and hybrids.

Table 1: Estimate of gene effect for number of fruits per plant in the hybrids of pepper

Genotypes	F ₂ mean	additive gene effect	dominant gene effect	additive x additive gene effect	additive x dominant gene effect	dominant x dominant gene effect
A♀×B♂	51.00	9.17**	29.49**	17.66**	3.01	-8.31
A♀×C♂	52.33	7.00**	41.67**	26.00**	3.00	-13.98
A♀×D♂	49.33	10.33**	26.85**	18.02**	2.83	-13.70
A♀×E♂	51.33	19.66**	7.01	-3.32	10.33**	16.65*
A♀×F♂	54.00	3.66*	20.66**	10.00	-1.00	-1.33
A♀×G♂	48.00	9.33**	40.66**	32.66**	3.33	-29.32**
B♀×C♂	44.67	-8.34**	42.15**	35.32**	-6.18**	-44.33**
B♀×D♂	41.33	3.63*	43.01**	36.68**	2.32	-48.67**
B♀×E♂	43.00	4.34*	23.48**	17.98**	1.17	-27.00**
B♀×F♂	46.00	-1.33	40.15**	30.66**	0.17	-37.64**
B♀×G♂	47.00	-2.50	28.17**	15.68**	-2.34	-11.03
C♀×D♂	45.33	10.16**	43.85**	34.68**	7.16**	-46.34**
C♀×E♂	42.67	7.00**	26.00**	22.00**	1.67	-28.01**
C♀×F♂	51.00	-1.00	35.99**	26.00**	-1.66	-38.67**
C♀×G♂	51.00	7.66**	26.00**	14.00*	5.66**	-1.60
D♀×E♂	40.67	3.67*	29.16**	24.66**	1.84	-38.33**
D♀×F♂	42.67	-4.67**	40.97**	35.98**	-1.83	-46.31**
D♀×G♂	46.00	2.33	43.49**	32.66**	3.83*	-49.66**
E♀×F♂	40.33	-12.00**	39.02**	33.36**	-8.33**	-44.70**
E♀×G♂	38.00	-7.67**	38.00**	35.34**	-4.34*	-46.69**
F♀×G♂	48.33	5.67**	34.67**	25.34**	4.33*	-35.99**

*,**= significant at (p=0.05) and (p=0.01) respectively, A= Piquante yellow, B=Scotch bonnet, C=Antillais, D=Big sun, E=Tatse, F=Ntuen okpo, G=Efia

This is an indication that the genotypic component of the variation was the major contributor to the total variation in the traits study, similar result have been obtained by Abrham (2019) and Deresa *et al.*, (2023). Dominance and dominance x dominance gene

action were found to be positive and higher in magnitude in all the hybrids for number of fruits per plant, fruit weight per plant and fruit yield per hectare indicating hybridization or heterosis breeding as the best breeding method to improve pepper productivity.

Table 2: Estimate of gene effect for fruits weight per plant (g) in the hybrids of pepper

Genotypes	F ₂ mean	Additive gene effect	Dominant gene effect	Additive x additive gene effect	Additive x dominant gene effect	Dominant x dominant gene effect
A♀×B♂	326.2	-4.25*	107.38**	41.02**	-2.05	-38.17**
A♀×C♂	300.9	6.94**	98.04**	40.04**	2.58	-30.46**
A♀×D♂	277.2	15.21**	109.38**	62.54**	0.89	-53.13**
A♀×E♂	254.4	52.26**	92.90**	49.20**	25.93**	-20.62**
A♀×F♂	284.5	33.86**	81.63**	27.00**	19.84**	-29.52**
A♀×G♂	326.5	13.92**	86.74**	19.16**	10.44**	15.67
B♀×C♂	366.0	8.24**	59.49**	-10.84	1.70	41.37**
B♀×D♂	355.3	32.96**	64.00**	8.20	16.44**	8.14
B♀×E♂	301.2	67.09**	83.19**	34.30**	38.56**	-15.35
B♀×F♂	342.6	34.22**	54.03**	-13.76*	18.00**	36.50**
B♀×G♂	360.1	13.75**	34.11**	-33.46**	8.07**	65.06**
C♀×D♂	334.6	25.77**	43.08**	-18.94**	15.79**	35.43**
C♀×E♂	300.8	51.66**	49.75**	9.40	29.67**	18.86*
C♀×F♂	314.2	18.54**	38.96**	-29.72**	8.86**	54.62**
C♀×G♂	328.7	-2.41	61.63**	-11.28	-1.55	32.45**
D♀×E♂	305.9	33.73**	30.06**	-23.26**	21.72**	62.99**
D♀×F♂	330.2	6.86**	42.17**	-18.80**	7.16**	40.61**
D♀×G♂	322.1	-6.43**	49.85**	-14.54*	4.41*	53.66**
E♀×F♂	317.9	-27.56**	22.07**	-44.16**	-15.25**	89.12**
E♀×G♂	345.9	-57.06**	32.47**	-321.28	-34.21**	-120.79**
A♀×B♂	326.2	-4.25*	107.38**	41.02**	-2.05	-38.17**

***= significant at (p=0.05) and (p=0.01) respectively, A= Piquante yellow, B=Scotch bonnet, C=Antillais, D=Big sun, E=Tatse, F=Ntuen okpo, G=Efia

Table 3: Estimate of gene effect for fruits yield per hectare (t/h) in the hybrids of pepper

Genotypes	F ₂ mean	Additive gene effect	Dominant gene effect	Additive x additive gene effect	Additive x dominant gene effect	Dominant x dominant gene effect
A♀×B♂	13.04	-0.17	4.26	1.62	-0.09	-1.4
A♀×C♂	12.03	0.42	4.14	1.84	0.24	-1.73
A♀×D♂	11.08	0.61	4.45	2.62	0.03	-2.32
A♀×E♂	10.17	2.09**	3.74	2.01	1.04	-1.32
A♀×F♂	11.38	1.50*	3.53	1.36	0.93	-1.48
A♀×G♂	13.05	0.55	3.33	0.78	0.41	0.46
B♀×C♂	14.64	0.35	2.31	-0.50	0.09	1.74
B♀×D♂	14.21	1.32	2.55	0.32	0.66	0.33
B♀×E♂	12.04	2.69**	3.33	1.38	1.55*	-0.67
B♀×F♂	13.70	1.36	2.10	-0.60	0.71	1.47
B♀×G♂	14.40	0.55	1.31	-1.38	0.33	2.65
C♀×D♂	13.38	1.04	1.68	-0.8	0.64	1.47
C♀×E♂	12.03	2.08**	1.93	0.32	1.20	-0.15
C♀×F♂	12.56	0.73	1.52	-1.22	0.34	2.23
C♀×G♂	13.14	-0.09	2.41	-0.50	-0.50	1.37
D♀×E♂	12.23	1.34	1.21	-0.91	0.86	2.52
D♀×F♂	13.21	0.28	1.68	-0.76	0.29	1.62
D♀×G♂	12.88	-0.25	1.98	-0.58	0.19	2.14
E♀×F♂	12.72	-1.11	1.34	-1.36	-0.62	3.54
E♀×G♂	13.83	2.28**	1.25	-1.64	-1.36	4.88
A♀×B♂	13.04	-0.17	4.26	1.62	-0.09	-1.4

*,**= significant at (p=0.05) and (p=0.01) respectively, A= Piquante yellow, B=Scotch bonnet, C=Antillais, D=Big sun, E=Tatse, F=Ntuen okpo, G=Efia

Table 4: Estimate of variance components for number of fruits per plant in pepper genotypes

Genotypes	Ve	Va	Vd	Vg	Vp	Hbs	Hns	\sqrt{D}/A	GA	GAM
A♀×B♂	6.38	12.42	1.73	14.15	20.53	68.92	60.5	0.37	6.43	14.72
A♀×C♂	7.57	15.16	1.90	17.06	24.63	69.27	61.55	0.36	7.08	14.25
A♀×D♂	7.98	14.79	2.92	17.71	25.69	68.94	57.57	0.45	7.20	18.31
A♀×E♂	6.35	14.67	2.78	17.45	23.8	73.32	61.64	0.44	7.37	18.90
A♀×F♂	8.40	16.19	3.76	19.95	28.35	70.37	57.11	0.48	7.73	17.57
A♀×G♂	7.12	12.06	4.06	16.12	23.24	69.36	51.89	0.58	6.89	17.23
B♀×C♂	5.98	13.64	2.32	15.96	21.94	72.74	62.17	0.41	7.03	20.28
B♀×D♂	9.20	19.15	2.56	21.71	30.91	70.24	61.95	0.36	8.04	27.21
B♀×E♂	9.93	17.69	2.95	20.64	30.57	67.52	57.87	0.41	7.69	27.46
B♀×F♂	6.68	14.94	1.33	16.27	22.95	70.89	65.10	0.30	7.00	19.09
B♀×G♂	7.81	12.94	4.72	17.66	25.47	69.34	50.80	0.60	7.20	18.78
C♀×D♂	6.84	14.11	1.97	16.08	22.92	70.16	61.56	0.37	6.92	19.4
C♀×E♂	7.16	12.56	1.76	14.32	21.48	66.67	58.47	0.37	6.37	22.22
C♀×F♂	5.69	17.20	1.87	19.07	24.76	77.02	69.47	0.33	7.89	20.06
C♀×G♂	7.29	11.95	2.80	14.75	22.04	66.92	54.22	0.48	6.48	16.07
D♀×E♂	6.92	12.92	1.40	14.32	21.24	67.42	60.83	0.33	6.40	24.93
D♀×F♂	9.32	15.32	2.70	18.02	27.34	65.91	56.08	0.42	7.10	23.41
D♀×G♂	5.79	12.27	2.40	14.67	20.46	71.21	59.97	0.45	6.69	17.61
E♀×F♂	6.08	11.97	3.86	15.83	21.91	72.25	54.63	0.57	6.97	24.43
E♀×G♂	7.15	17.17	3.38	20.55	27.70	74.19	61.99	0.45	8.05	29.69
F♀×E♂	5.85	11.56	3.38	14.94	20.79	71.86	55.6	0.54	6.75	18.13

Where, Ve= environmental variance, Va= additive variance, Vd= dominance variance, Vg= genotypic variance, Vp= phenotypic variance, Hbs= broad sense heritability, Hns= narrow sense heritability, \sqrt{D}/A = Degree of dominance, GA=Genetic Advance, GAM= Genetic Advance as percentage of mean, A= Piquante yellow, B=Scotch bonnet, C=Antillais, D=Big sun, E=Tatse, F=Ntuen okpo, G=Efia

Table 5: Estimate of variance components for fruit weight per plant (g) pepper genotypes

Genotypes	Ve	Va	Vd	Vg	Vp	Hbs	Hns	\sqrt{D}/A	GA	GAM
A♀×B♂	5.02	7.56	3.54	11.10	16.12	68.86	46.90	0.69	5.70	2.36
A♀×C♂	3.55	10.36	4.87	15.23	18.78	81.10	55.17	0.69	7.25	3.19
A♀×D♂	5.70	12.07	3.03	15.10	20.80	72.60	58.03	0.50	6.82	3.31
A♀×E♂	3.74	5.08	2.77	7.85	11.59	68.83	43.83	0.74	4.76	2.49
A♀×F♂	3.79	7.11	2.58	9.69	13.48	71.88	52.74	0.60	5.44	2.54
A♀×G♂	3.30	18.16	2.57	20.67	23.97	86.23	75.76	0.37	8.69	3.66
B♀×C♂	5.13	9.35	2.25	11.60	16.73	69.34	55.89	0.49	5.84	2.42
B♀×D♂	3.46	9.60	4.23	13.06	17.29	75.53	55.52	0.66	6.47	2.98
B♀×E♂	3.77	7.07	3.99	11.06	14.83	74.58	47.67	0.75	5.92	2.99
B♀×F♂	3.87	11.83	4.68	16.51	20.38	81.01	58.05	0.63	7.54	3.29
B♀×G♂	4.67	12.83	3.11	15.94	20.61	77.34	62.25	0.49	7.23	3.02
C♀×D♂	3.66	7.60	4.69	12.29	15.95	77.05	47.65	0.79	6.35	2.93
C♀×E♂	3.18	9.41	3.34	12.75	15.93	80.04	59.07	0.59	6.58	3.59
C♀×F♂	4.76	8.93	5.01	13.94	18.70	74.55	47.75	0.75	6.65	2.97
C♀×G♂	5.14	13.14	5.35	18.49	23.60	78.25	55.61	0.64	7.84	3.29
D♀×E♂	4.22	10.61	5.10	15.71	19.93	78.83	53.24	0.69	7.26	3.90
D♀×F♂	3.78	11.57	5.66	17.23	21.01	82.01	55.07	0.70	7.75	3.76
D♀×G♂	4.15	13.43	4.81	18.24	22.39	81.46	59.98	0.60	7.76	3.53
E♀×F♂	3.29	16.46	4.15	20.61	23.90	86.23	68.87	0.50	8.68	4.35
E♀×G♂	3.71	11.83	4.68	16.51	20.22	81.65	58.51	0.63	7.56	3.50
F♀×G♂	4.23	13.04	4.45	17.49	21.72	80.52	60.04	0.58	7.73	3.47

Where, Ve= environmental variance, Va= additive variance, Vd= dominance variance, Vg= genotypic variance, Vp= phenotypic variance, Hbs= broad sense heritability, Hns= narrow sense heritability, \sqrt{D}/A = Degree of dominance, GA=Genetic Advance, GAM= Genetic Advance as percentage of mean, A= Piquante yellow, B=Scotch bonnet, C=Antillais, D=Big sun, E=Tatse, F=Ntuen okpo, G=Efia

Table 6: Estimate of variance components, for fruit yield per hectare (t/h) in the pepper genotypes

Genotypes	Ve	Va	Vd	Vg	Vp	Hbs	Hns	\sqrt{D}/A	GA	GAM
A♀×B♂	2.87	12.04	3.25	15.29	18.16	84.2	66.3	0.52	7.39	76.34
A♀×C♂	3.76	15.58	3.33	18.88	22.64	83.39	68.82	0.46	8.17	89.98
A♀×D♂	3.37	7.98	5.66	13.64	17.01	80.19	46.91	0.84	6.82	83.07
A♀×E♂	3.38	9.92	4.24	14.16	17.54	80.73	56.56	0.66	6.96	91.10
A♀×F♂	2.05	10.9	4.39	15.29	17.34	88.18	62.86	0.63	7.57	88.43
A♀×G♂	2.11	10.71	2.98	13.69	15.80	86.65	67.78	0.53	7.10	75.77
B♀×C♂	2.38	10.11	4.63	14.74	17.12	86.10	59.05	0.68	7.33	75.80
B♀×D♂	2.82	9.61	5.88	15.49	18.31	84.60	52.48	0.78	7.46	85.85
B♀×E♂	2.30	9.81	3.12	12.93	15.23	84.90	64.41	0.57	6.83	86.13
B♀×F♂	2.30	8.91	4.75	13.66	15.96	85.59	55.83	0.73	7.05	76.88
B♀×G♂	2.34	9.83	5.37	15.20	17.54	86.66	56.04	0.74	7.47	77.89
C♀×D♂	2.23	9.04	6.84	15.88	18.11	87.69	49.92	0.87	7.68	88.48
C♀×E♂	2.66	8.75	4.19	12.9	15.60	82.95	56.09	0.69	6.73	91.81
C♀×F♂	2.42	9.27	5.26	14.53	16.95	85.72	54.69	0.75	7.27	81.23
C♀×G♂	2.88	8.93	3.59	12.52	15.4	81.30	57.99	0.63	6.58	68.90
D♀×E♂	2.30	9.36	5.80	15.16	17.46	86.83	53.61	0.79	7.47	100.27
D♀×F♂	2.21	10.34	3.57	13.91	16.12	86.29	64.14	0.59	7.15	86.67
D♀×G♂	3.39	7.95	4.16	12.11	15.50	78.13	51.29	0.72	6.33	71.93
E♀×F♂	2.27	6.79	4.45	11.24	13.96	80.52	48.64	0.81	6.19	77.67
E♀×G♂	2.58	10.85	4.13	14.98	17.56	85.31	61.79	0.62	7.36	85.09
F♀×G♂	2.76	9.18	3.74	12.92	15.68	82.40	58.55	0.64	6.72	75.76

Where, Ve= environmental variance, Va= additive variance, Vd= dominance variance, Vg= genotypic variance, Vp= phenotypic variance, Hbs= broad sense heritability, Hns= narrow sense heritability, \sqrt{D}/A = Degree of dominance, GA=Genetic Advance, GAM= Genetic Advance as percentage of mean, A= Piquante yellow, B=Scotch bonnet, C=Antillais, D=Big sun, E=Tatse, F=Ntuen okpo, G=Efia

References

1. Abrham, S. 2019. Genetic Variability and Heritability study of hot pepper (*Capsicum Annuum* L.) genotypes in Wolaita, Southern Ethiopia. Glo. J. Sci Front. Res. 19(4):30-36.
2. Acquaaah, G. 2007. Principles of Plant Genetics and Breeding. Blacwwell Publishing, Oxford, 569p.
3. Akpan, N. M., Ogbonna, P. E., Onyia, V.N., Okechukwu, E. C and Dominic, I. I. and Atugwu, I. A. 2017. Genetic Control and Heterosis of Quantitative Traits in Several Local Eggplant Genotypes. Not. Sci. Biol., 9(4):520-524.
4. Arif, A.B., Sujiprihati, S and Syukur, M. 2011. Inheritance of Several Qualitative Characters in Three Group Pepper, Bul Plasma Nutfah 17: 1–6.
5. Chibesa, E, M., Langa, T and Kalaluka, M. 2019. Gene action conditioning phosphorous utilization in tropical maize, J. Genet. Genomic. Plant Breed., 3 (4):1-8.
6. Derera, J and Musimwa, T. R. 2015. Why SR52 is such a great maize hybrid? I. Heterosis and generation mean analysis Euphytica 205 121–135.
7. Deresa, D., Girma, S and Assefa, G. 2023. Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance, and association of characters in small pod hot pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) landraces in West Hararghe, Eastern Ethiopia, Res. Squ., 1-14.
8. Gamble, E.E. 1962. Gene effects in corn (*Zea mays* L.) relative importance of gene effects for plant height and certain components attributes of yield. Can. J. Plant Sci., 42:349-358.

9. Hayman, B.I. 1958. The separation of epistasis from additive and dominance variation in generation means. *Hered.*, 12: 371-391.
10. Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F and Comstock, R.E. 1955. Estimation of genetic and environmental variability in Soybean. *Agron. J.*, 47: 314-318
11. Nadeem, M., Muhammad, F., Anjum, S., Khan, A., Saed, M and Riaz, A. 2011. Antioxidant Potential of Bell Pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Pak. J. Food Sci.*, 21(14): 45-51.
12. Nascimento, M.F., Rêgo, E.R., Nascimento, N.F.F., Leite, P.S.S., Finger, F.L., Bruckner, C.H and Rêgo, M.M. 2019. Heritability of morpho-agronomic traits in ornamental pepper. *Crop Breed. Appl. Biotech.*, 19(3): 253-261.
13. Navhale, V.C., Dalvi, V.V., Wakode, M.M., Bhawe, S.G and Devmore, J.P. 2014. Gene action of yield and yield contributing characters in chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Elect. J. Plant Breed.*, 5(4): 729-734.
14. Singh, R. K and Chaudhary, B. D. 1985. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi-Ludhiana, India, 3978p.
15. Umoh, A.A., Akpan, A.O and Jacob, B.B. 2013. Rainfall And Relative Humidity Occurrence Patterns In Uyo Metropolis, Akwa Ibom State, South- South Nigeria. *IOSR J. Engin.*, 3(8): 27-31.
16. Yunandra, Syukur, M and Maharijaya, A. 2018. Inheritance study for yield components of pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Earth Envi. Sci.*, 196: 1-8.